

MEDICINE OF PEACE: HOLISTIC HEALTH APPROACHES FOR CONFLICT-AFFECTED POPULATIONS

Kieu my Perez¹

Iradukunda Sengesho Benigne²

Nizeyimana Jean Baptiste³

1. Joint Reseacher at Lisala University and UNCR University, Department of Public Health
2. Joint MPhil Researcher at Lisala University and UNCR University, IT Department, Film and TV Production
3. Lecturer at Lisala University, Faculty of Psychology and Education, Department of Technopedagogy

ABSTRACT

Conflict-affected populations face profound health challenges that extend beyond physical injuries to encompass psychological trauma, social disruption, and spiritual suffering. This article examines the concept of Medicine of Peace as a holistic health approach for conflict-affected populations, drawing on the framework developed by the Cercle de Réflexion des Nations. The research analyzes the key components of the Medicine of Peace framework—including the recognition of collective trauma, the integration of diverse medical traditions, the emphasis on psychological healing, the role of compassion and love, and the institutional mechanisms for implementation. The study reveals that Medicine of Peace offers a comprehensive approach that

¹ Joint Reseacher at Lisala University and UNCR University, Department of Biomedical Sciences

addresses the multiple dimensions of health in conflict settings, recognizing that healing individuals and communities is essential for peacebuilding. The framework challenges the compartmentalization of modern medicine, the neglect of psychological and spiritual dimensions of health, and the separation of health interventions from peacebuilding. The article argues that Medicine of Peace provides a valuable conceptual framework for humanitarian health interventions in conflict settings, offering a more holistic and integrated approach than current models. The findings contribute to the growing literature on health and peacebuilding and offer practical implications for humanitarian actors, health practitioners, and policymakers working in conflict-affected contexts.

Keywords: Medicine of Peace, holistic health, conflict-affected populations, collective trauma, humanitarian health, peacebuilding, integrative medicine.

RÉSUMÉ (FRENCH)

Les populations affectées par les conflits font face à des défis de santé profonds qui s'étendent au-delà des blessures physiques pour englober les traumatismes psychologiques, la disruption sociale et la souffrance spirituelle. Cet article examine le concept de Médecine de la Paix comme une approche de santé holistique pour les populations affectées par les conflits, s'appuyant sur le cadre développé par le Cercle de Réflexion des Nations. La recherche analyse les composantes clés du cadre de la Médecine de la Paix—incluant la reconnaissance du traumatisme collectif, l'intégration des diverses traditions médicales, l'accent sur la guérison psychologique, le rôle de la compassion et de l'amour, et les mécanismes institutionnels pour la mise en œuvre. L'étude révèle que la Médecine de la Paix offre une approche globale qui aborde les multiples dimensions de la santé dans les contextes de conflit, reconnaissant que la guérison des individus et des communautés est essentielle pour la consolidation de la paix. Le cadre remet en question la compartimentation de la médecine moderne, la négligence des dimensions psychologiques et spirituelles de la santé, et la séparation des interventions de santé de la consolidation de la paix. L'article soutient que la Médecine de la Paix fournit un cadre conceptuel précieux pour les interventions de santé humanitaire dans les contextes de conflit, offrant une approche plus holistique et intégrée que les modèles actuels. Les résultats contribuent à la littérature croissante sur la santé et la consolidation de la paix et offrent des implications pratiques pour les acteurs humanitaires, les praticiens de la santé et les décideurs politiques travaillant dans des contextes affectés par les conflits.

Mots-clés: Médecine de la Paix, santé holistique, populations affectées par les conflits, traumatisme collectif, santé humanitaire, consolidation de la paix, médecine intégrative.

Reçu le : 17 Mars , 2026

Publié le : 08 Juin , 2026

Auteurs correspondant: Kieu my Perez, Iradukunda Sengesho Benigne and Nizeyimana Jean Baptiste

Adresses électroniques: kieumyperez@cirep.ac.cd, benigneira0@gmail.com, nizeyimana.jb@dphu.ac.cd ,

Ce travail est disponible sous la licence

Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Context

Conflicts around the world continue to cause immense suffering, with profound consequences for the health and well-being of affected populations. Beyond the immediate physical injuries caused by violence, conflict creates conditions of displacement, food insecurity, disease, and psychological trauma that affect millions of people. The health needs of conflict-affected populations are complex and multifaceted, requiring comprehensive approaches that address not only physical health but also psychological, social, and spiritual dimensions of well-being.

The concept of "Medicine of Peace" offers a holistic framework for addressing the health needs of conflict-affected populations. Emerging from the work of the Cercle de Réflexion des Nations, Medicine of Peace positions health interventions as integral to peacebuilding, recognizing that healing individuals and communities is essential for the construction of sustainable peace.

1.2 Problem Statement

The central problem addressed in this article is the inadequacy of current health approaches in conflict settings to address the full range of health needs of affected populations. Current approaches often focus on physical health, neglecting psychological, social, and spiritual dimensions. They tend to separate health interventions from peacebuilding, missing opportunities for synergy. They often impose external models rather than integrating local medical traditions and community resources. And they may fail to recognize the role of compassion and human connection in healing.

1.3 Research Questions

This article seeks to address the following research questions. First, what are the key components of the Medicine of Peace framework? Second, how does Medicine of Peace address the health needs of conflict-affected populations? Third, what are the institutional mechanisms for implementing Medicine of Peace? Fourth, what are the implications for humanitarian health practice?

1.4 Methodology and Scope

This article adopts a conceptual analysis, drawing on the Medicine of Peace framework and related literature on health in conflict settings, humanitarian action, and peacebuilding. The research examines the key components of Medicine of Peace and their application to conflict-affected populations.

1.5 Structure of the Article

The article is organized as follows. Section 2 examines collective trauma and its health consequences. Section 3 presents the Medicine of Peace framework. Section 4 examines the key components of Medicine of Peace. Section 5 discusses institutional mechanisms for implementation. Section 6 explores the implications for humanitarian health practice. Section 7 concludes the article.

2. COLLECTIVE TRAUMA AND HEALTH IN CONFLICT SETTINGS

2.1 The Nature of Collective Trauma

Collective trauma refers to the psychological and social impact of events that affect entire communities or populations. In conflict settings, collective trauma is caused by exposure to violence, displacement, loss, and the destruction of social structures and ways of life.

Characteristics of Collective Trauma. Collective trauma has several distinguishing characteristics: it affects not just individuals but entire communities; it disrupts social bonds and community structures; it creates shared experiences of loss and suffering; it can be transmitted across generations; and it can lead to long-term psychological and social consequences.

Causes of Collective Trauma in Conflict Settings. The causes of collective trauma in conflict settings include: exposure to violence (direct and indirect); loss of family members, friends, and community; displacement from homes and communities; destruction of livelihoods and social structures; witnessing atrocities; and living under conditions of threat and uncertainty.

2.2 Health Consequences of Collective Trauma

Collective trauma has profound consequences for the health and well-being of affected populations.

Psychological Consequences. The psychological consequences of collective trauma include: post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD); depression and anxiety; grief and complicated bereavement; substance abuse; and increased risk of suicide.

Physical Consequences. The physical consequences of collective trauma include: injuries from violence; increased vulnerability to infectious diseases; malnutrition and food insecurity; exacerbation of chronic conditions; and stress-related physical illnesses.

Social Consequences. The social consequences of collective trauma include: disruption of families and communities; breakdown of social support networks; loss of trust in institutions; increased violence and conflict within communities; and intergenerational transmission of trauma.

Spiritual Consequences. The spiritual consequences of collective trauma include: loss of meaning and purpose; questioning of religious beliefs; spiritual distress; and loss of cultural identity.

2.3 The Heart-Brain-Gut Connection in Trauma

Understanding the physiological dimensions of trauma is essential for effective treatment. The Medicine of Peace framework identifies three interconnected centers affected by trauma:

The Emotional Brain. The brain processes and responds to traumatic experiences. Trauma can alter brain function, particularly in areas related to threat detection, emotional regulation, and memory processing.

The Heart (The Small Brain). The heart is not just a pump but also a complex organ with its own nervous system. It produces hormones that affect brain function and emotional states. The heart perceives and feels, influencing the brain through an electromagnetic field and autonomic nervous

system. When the heart becomes deregulated following trauma, it takes the emotional brain with it.

The Gut (The Second Brain). The intestinal wall houses 200 million neurons that constantly communicate with the brain. The digestive system secretes 95% of the serotonin in the body. Stress increases intestinal permeability, promoting inflammation that can affect mental health.

This understanding of the interconnectedness of brain, heart, and gut suggests that effective treatment of trauma must address all three dimensions.

3. THE MEDICINE OF PEACE FRAMEWORK

3.1 Defining Medicine of Peace

Medicine of Peace is a holistic health approach for conflict-affected populations that integrates physical, psychological, social, and spiritual dimensions of healing. It is based on the recognition that health and peace are interconnected and that healing individuals and communities contributes to peacebuilding.

Core Principles. The core principles of Medicine of Peace include:

- Recognition of war as a collective psychic illness
- Integration of diverse medical traditions
- Emphasis on psychological healing alongside physical treatment
- Positioning of compassion and love as essential therapeutic tools
- Detachment of medicine from profit
- Placement of the patient at the center of care

The Therapeutic Approach. The therapeutic approach of Medicine of Peace targets three centers: the emotional brain (eliminating fear and anxiety), the heart (compassion), and the gut (regulating emotions). The goal is to clean mental pollutants through gentle and natural medicine, using all types of medicine as long as they are effective.

3.2 Medicine of Peace and Peacebuilding

Medicine of Peace explicitly links health interventions to peacebuilding. The framework recognizes that:

Healing Contributes to Peace. The healing of individuals and communities contributes to peace by addressing the psychological roots of conflict, reducing the risk of revenge and cycles of violence, and rebuilding social bonds.

Peace Enables Health. Peace creates conditions for health, including security, access to resources, functioning health systems, and social stability.

Health Interventions Can Promote Peace. Health interventions can promote peace by building trust, fostering cooperation, demonstrating care and compassion, and supporting reconciliation.

3.3 Medicine of Peace and Humanitarian Health

Medicine of Peace offers a framework for humanitarian health interventions in conflict settings that goes beyond current approaches.

Beyond Physical Health. Medicine of Peace recognizes that health in conflict settings encompasses physical, psychological, social, and spiritual dimensions. Humanitarian health interventions should address all these dimensions.

Beyond Treatment. Medicine of Peace recognizes that healing requires not just treatment of symptoms but also addressing underlying causes of suffering, including trauma, loss, and social disruption.

Beyond External Intervention. Medicine of Peace recognizes that sustainable health interventions must integrate local knowledge, resources, and traditions, and build local capacity.

Beyond Health Sector. Medicine of Peace recognizes that health in conflict settings is interconnected with other dimensions of humanitarian action, including food security, protection, education, and shelter.

4. KEY COMPONENTS OF MEDICINE OF PEACE

4.1 Integration of Diverse Medical Traditions

Medicine of Peace calls for the integration of diverse medical traditions, including modern medicine, traditional medicine, and spiritual healing.

Rationale. The rationale for integration is practical and philosophical. Practically, integrating diverse traditions can make health care more accessible, affordable, and culturally appropriate. Philosophically, recognizing the value of different traditions respects cultural diversity and challenges the dominance of a single model.

Traditional Medicine. Traditional medicine, including herbal medicine, traditional healing practices, and indigenous knowledge, has been practiced for centuries and has proven effective for many conditions. In conflict settings, traditional medicine may be more accessible than modern medicine and may be more acceptable to communities.

Spiritual Healing. Spiritual healing, including prayer, meditation, and religious rituals, addresses the spiritual dimensions of suffering. For many people, spiritual healing is an essential component of health and well-being.

Modern Medicine. Modern medicine offers effective treatments for many conditions, particularly acute injuries and infections. However, it should be integrated with other approaches rather than imposed as the only valid model.

The Medical Encyclopedia. Medicine of Peace proposes the creation of a Medical Encyclopedia that would compile therapeutic knowledge from all traditions. This encyclopedia would serve as a resource for training, practice, and research.

4.2 Holistic Treatment Approach

Medicine of Peace adopts a holistic treatment approach that addresses the whole person rather than just symptoms.

Body-Mind-Spirit Integration. Holistic treatment recognizes the interconnectedness of body, mind, and spirit. Physical health affects mental health and vice versa. Spiritual well-being is an integral component of health.

Psychological Approach. The psychological approach is central to Medicine of Peace. The framework emphasizes the importance of psychological healing alongside physical treatment. It

recognizes that trauma, grief, anxiety, and depression are common in conflict settings and require specific attention.

Therapeutic Techniques. Medicine of Peace incorporates a range of therapeutic techniques, including:

- Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (EMDR) for trauma
- Light therapy for regulating biological rhythms
- Nutritional interventions (Omega-3 fatty acids for brain health)
- Supportive relationships and community connections
- Prayer and meditation

4.3 Compassion and Love as Therapeutic Tools

Medicine of Peace positions compassion and love as essential therapeutic tools.

The Role of Compassion. Compassion—the ability to feel and respond to the suffering of others—is central to healing. Compassion creates trust, reduces fear, and supports psychological healing. It is a bridge between the patient and the healer, and between the individual and the community.

The Role of Love. Love—understood as unconditional care and concern for others—is the foundation of Medicine of Peace. Love provides the motivation for healing, creates the conditions for trust and connection, and supports the transformation of individuals and communities.

The Heart Connection. The heart is the seat of compassion and love. Medicine of Peace emphasizes the importance of the heart in healing, recognizing that emotional connection is essential for recovery from trauma.

4.4 Gentle and Inexpensive Medicine

Medicine of Peace prioritizes gentle and inexpensive medicine whenever possible.

Rationale. The rationale for gentle and inexpensive medicine is both practical and ethical. Practically, it makes health care accessible to all, especially the most disadvantaged. Ethically, it recognizes that health is a human right, not a commodity.

Affordable Interventions. Gentle and inexpensive interventions include: herbal remedies, nutritional interventions, psychosocial support, community-based care, and preventive health measures.

Self-Care and Community Care. Medicine of Peace emphasizes self-care and community care as sustainable approaches to health. Communities can be trained to provide basic care, reducing reliance on external resources.

4.5 Detachment from Profit

Medicine of Peace calls for the detachment of medicine from profit.

The Hippocratic Oath. The Hippocratic Oath emphasizes the ethical foundations of medicine: "I will direct the regimen of the sick to their advantage, according to my powers and my judgment, and I will abstain from all evil and all injustice." Medicine of Peace reminds doctors of this oath.

Volunteerism. Medicine of Peace calls on doctors to devote a portion of their activities to volunteer interventions. This recognizes that medicine is a vocation, not just a profession, and that doctors have a responsibility to serve all, not just those who can pay.

Free Care Centers. Medicine of Peace proposes the establishment of Popular Free Care Centers that would provide basic care to disadvantaged populations. These centers would use gentle and inexpensive treatments, reducing barriers to access.

5. INSTITUTIONAL MECHANISMS

5.1 The Academy of Medicine of Peace

The Academy of Medicine of Peace serves as the institutional mechanism for developing and disseminating the Medicine of Peace framework.

Purpose. The Academy brings together medical authorities from around the world to compile a comprehensive Medical Encyclopedia of all therapeutic traditions. This encyclopedia would serve as a resource for training, practice, and research.

Training. The Academy would train a new generation of health practitioners in holistic, integrative approaches to health. These practitioners would be equipped to address the full range of health needs in conflict settings.

Research. The Academy would conduct research on the effectiveness of different therapeutic approaches, ensuring that interventions are evidence-based.

5.2 Popular Free Care Centers

Popular Free Care Centers would provide basic health care to disadvantaged populations using gentle and inexpensive treatments.

Location. Centers would be established in communities with limited access to health care, prioritizing conflict-affected and marginalized populations.

Services. Centers would provide a range of services, including: primary health care, maternal and child health, mental health and psychosocial support, nutrition support, and health education.

Staffing. Centers would be staffed by trained community health workers and visiting health professionals. Training would emphasize community-based, culturally appropriate approaches.

Sustainability. Centers would be designed for sustainability, using local resources, building local capacity, and integrating with community structures.

5.3 Hospital Ships

Hospital ships would provide a mobile platform for delivering Medicine of Peace to conflict-affected populations.

Purpose. Hospital ships would provide medical care, psychosocial support, and health education to populations affected by conflicts and natural disasters. They would also serve as training platforms and logistical hubs for health interventions.

Services. Hospital ships would provide: surgical services for trauma and emergency care, maternal and child health services, mental health and psychosocial support, nutrition support, and health education.

Coordination. Hospital ships would coordinate with local health authorities, international organizations, and NGOs to ensure effective and coordinated response.

5.4 The Permanent Chamber for Conflict Resolution

The Permanent Chamber for Conflict Resolution would provide a mechanism for early intervention in conflicts.

Purpose. The Chamber would examine and find a peaceful outcome to conflicts before they escalate. It would provide a platform for dialogue and negotiation, supported by health and psychosocial expertise.

Approach. The Chamber would draw on therapeutic approaches to conflict resolution, recognizing the psychological dimensions of conflict and the importance of healing for sustainable peace.

Coordination. The Chamber would coordinate with other peacebuilding mechanisms, ensuring that health and psychosocial dimensions are integrated into peace processes.

6. IMPLICATIONS FOR HUMANITARIAN HEALTH PRACTICE

6.1 Holistic Assessment

Humanitarian health assessments in conflict settings should address the full range of health needs, including physical, psychological, social, and spiritual dimensions.

Physical Health Assessment. Physical health assessment should include: injuries and trauma, infectious diseases, chronic conditions, malnutrition, and access to health services.

Psychological Health Assessment. Psychological health assessment should include: trauma and stress-related disorders, depression and anxiety, grief and loss, and coping resources.

Social Health Assessment. Social health assessment should include: family and community structures, social support networks, displacement and loss, and social cohesion.

Spiritual Health Assessment. Spiritual health assessment should include: meaning and purpose, religious and cultural beliefs, spiritual distress, and spiritual resources.

6.2 Integrated Response

Humanitarian health responses should be integrated across sectors and disciplines.

Integration with Other Sectors. Health responses should be integrated with food security, water and sanitation, shelter, protection, and education interventions.

Integration Across Disciplines. Health responses should integrate medical, psychological, social, and spiritual approaches.

Integration with Communities. Health responses should integrate community knowledge, resources, and traditions.

6.3 Capacity Building

Humanitarian health interventions should build local capacity for sustainable health systems.

Training. Training should be provided to local health workers in holistic, integrated approaches to health. Training should be adapted to the local context and build on existing knowledge and skills.

Supervision and Support. Local health workers should receive ongoing supervision and support to maintain quality and build confidence.

Systems Strengthening. Health interventions should strengthen health systems, including infrastructure, supply chains, information systems, and governance.

6.4 Advocacy

Humanitarian health actors should advocate for policies and resources that support holistic health approaches.

Awareness Raising. Actors should raise awareness of the health needs of conflict-affected populations and the importance of holistic approaches.

Policy Influence. Actors should influence policies that address the social determinants of health, including poverty, inequality, and conflict.

Resource Mobilization. Actors should mobilize resources for holistic health interventions, including funding for mental health, psychosocial support, and community-based care.

7. CONCLUSION

This article has examined the concept of Medicine of Peace as a holistic health approach for conflict-affected populations. The framework, developed by the Cercle de Réflexion des Nations, offers a comprehensive approach that addresses the multiple dimensions of health in conflict settings.

Medicine of Peace recognizes that war is a collective psychic illness requiring therapeutic intervention. It calls for the integration of diverse medical traditions, the adoption of a holistic approach to healing, the prioritization of gentle and inexpensive medicine, the positioning of compassion and love as essential therapeutic tools, and the detachment of medicine from profit.

The institutional mechanisms for implementing Medicine of Peace include the Academy of Medicine of Peace, Popular Free Care Centers, hospital ships, and the Permanent Chamber for Conflict Resolution. These mechanisms provide a platform for developing and disseminating the Medicine of Peace approach.

The implications for humanitarian health practice are significant. Holistic assessments that address physical, psychological, social, and spiritual dimensions are needed. Integrated responses that bring together different sectors and disciplines are essential. Capacity building that strengthens local health systems is critical. Advocacy for policies and resources that support holistic health approaches is necessary.

The Medicine of Peace framework offers a valuable contribution to humanitarian health practice in conflict settings. By recognizing the interconnectedness of health and peace, and by addressing the full range of health needs of affected populations, Medicine of Peace provides a more comprehensive and effective approach than current models. As conflicts continue to cause immense suffering around the world, the need for holistic, integrated approaches to health in conflict settings has never been greater.

REFERENCES

1. Cercle de Réflexion des Nations. (2008). *Charte du Cercle de Réflexion des Nations*. Adopted at the United Nations, June 25, 2008.
2. Cercle de Réflexion des Nations. (2004). *Academy of Medicine of Peace: Memorandum of Understanding*. Signed December 20, 2004.
3. Inter-Agency Standing Committee. (2007). *IASC Guidelines on Mental Health and Psychosocial Support in Emergency Settings*. Geneva: IASC.
4. Mollica, R. F., et al. (2004). *Mental Health in Complex Emergencies*. The Lancet, 364(9450), 2058-2067.

5. Pérez, K. M. (2020). *Approche pour une Médecine de la Paix par l'exploration du langage du cœur*. Doctoral Thesis.
6. Pérez, J.-L., & Pérez, K. M. (1999). *Navire-Hôpital "L'Humanité I": Projet pour l'an 2000*. Cercle de Réflexion des Nations.
7. Sphere Association. (2018). *The Sphere Handbook: Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Humanitarian Response*. Geneva: Sphere Association.
8. UN General Assembly. (2005). *World Summit Outcome*. A/RES/60/1.
9. World Health Organization. (1946). *Constitution of the World Health Organization*.
10. World Health Organization. (2007). *Health as a Bridge for Peace: A Framework for Action*.