Journal de Recherche Multidisciplinaire
ISSN : 3088-5205 https://jorep.net

JOREP Journal de recherche multidisciplinaire

Volume : 1
Numéro : 2
Année : 2025
Mois : Mars

Microfinance and savings group cultures: a comparative analysis of divergent pathways in Burundi and Bangladesh

Nizeyimana Etienne[1]

Iradukunda Sengesho Benigne2

Nizeyimana Jean Baptiste3

1. Joint PhD Researcher at Lisala University and UNCR University, Economics and Management Sciences Department, Financial Management
2. Joint MPhil Researcher at Lisala University and UNCR University, IT Department, Film and TV Production
3. Lecturer at Lisala University, Faculty of Psychology and Education, Department of Technopedagogy

ABSTRACT

This study examines the comparative dynamics of microfinance and savings group cultures in Burundi and Bangladesh, two countries with distinct historical trajectories yet shared reliance on community-based financial mechanisms to address poverty and financial exclusion. Despite their divergent contexts, both nations grapple with the fundamental question of how informal and semi-formal financial institutions can effectively serve the poor, build social capital, and contribute to sustainable poverty reduction. The research employs a comparative case study methodology,

[1] Joint PhD Researcher at Lisala University and UNCR University, Economics and Management Sciences Department, Financial Management

drawing on empirical studies, project reports, impact evaluations, and scholarly analyses spanning 2020-2026. Bangladesh is globally recognized as the birthplace of modern microfinance, with the Grameen Bank's pioneering model demonstrating how group lending using social collateral can achieve high repayment rates while reaching millions of poor, predominantly women, borrowers. The Grameen model, developed since 1976, institutionalized practices including self-selected groups of five, compulsory weekly savings, public repayment meetings, and peer monitoring, creating a disciplined savings and credit culture that has been replicated worldwide . By 2025, Grameen Bank alone had millions of borrowers, with repayment rates consistently above 95%. The model's success catalyzed a vast microfinance sector in Bangladesh, including BRAC, ASA, and numerous NGOs, reaching an estimated 30-40 million borrowers. Research on Bangladesh's microfinance culture highlights the critical role of social collateral—where borrowers' social reputation within their community serves as guarantee—and the importance of disciplined weekly meetings in reinforcing repayment norms . However, challenges persist, including concerns about over-indebtedness, high interest rates, and the transformation of some MFIs into for-profit institutions. The Village Savings and Loan Associations (VSLA) model, pioneered by CARE in Niger in 1991 and subsequently adapted in Bangladesh, represents a different approach focused on member savings rather than external capital. A comprehensive 2022 analysis of VSLA programs across multiple countries, including Bangladesh, documented significant social and financial effects, with groups demonstrating remarkable resilience during the COVID-19 pandemic .

Burundi presents a different landscape where community-based savings groups have deep cultural roots but operate in a context of extreme poverty, weak formal financial infrastructure, and post-conflict fragility. With 73% of the population living on less than $2.15 per day and the country ranked 185th out of 189 on the Human Development Index, formal financial services reach only a tiny fraction of the population . Savings groups have proliferated as an indigenous response to financial exclusion. The Twogororetwese cooperative ("Let's develop together") in a rural village demonstrates the transformative potential of collective action—members contributing 500 BIF (about $0.25 AUD) weekly, building savings, accessing loans, and eventually acquiring palm oil processing machines and goats . Sola Mbonigaruye's journey from being "the poorest person in the village" to vice-president of her cooperative, owning land, building a house, and running a small trading business illustrates how savings groups can build both financial capital and human

dignity. The Rumotomoto Hill savings group in Bubanza Province, supported by Concern Worldwide, exemplifies the structured governance of these groups—with elected committees, transparent record-keeping, and emergency funds to support members during crises such as crop failure or extreme weather events . A remarkable phenomenon in Burundi is the spontaneous replication of savings groups. After Cord established 100 Self Help Groups in 2023, community members formed an additional 14 groups without any external funding or direct support. The Twiyungunganye group, with 29 members, now has more capital (96 goats, 1 ton of beans, 1 ton of maize) than the established group that mentored them . A 2015 evaluation of microfinance programs documented impressive results: 85,045 active microfinance clients (81% women), and 2,272 savings groups comprising 54,839 members achieving 92.1% attendance and 99.4% retention rates .

Bangladesh's strength lies in its institutionalized microfinance sector, the rigorous discipline of the Grameen model, the scale of outreach, and the integration of savings groups into a broader financial ecosystem. Its challenge is managing the tensions between social mission and commercial sustainability, and ensuring that the poorest are not excluded by loan size requirements. Burundi's advantage is the organic, community-rooted nature of its savings groups, the remarkable replication dynamic, and the integration of mutual support with livelihood development. Both countries demonstrate that effective microfinance and savings groups require: (1) social collateral leveraging community relationships and trust; (2) disciplined savings and meeting structures reinforcing financial discipline; (3) transparent governance and record-keeping ensuring accountability; (4) emergency funds building resilience to shocks; (5) linkages to formal financial institutions for scaling; (6) gender-inclusive design recognizing women's roles as primary savers and borrowers; (7) integration with livelihood support and skills training; and (8) recognition that financial inclusion is not merely about credit access but about building dignity, social capital, and community solidarity.

KEYWORDS: Microfinance, savings groups, Burundi, Bangladesh, Grameen Bank, Village Savings and Loan Associations (VSLA), social collateral, financial inclusion, self-help groups, poverty reduction

RÉSUMÉ

Cette étude examine les dynamiques comparatives de la microfinance et des cultures de groupes d'épargne au Burundi et au Bangladesh, deux pays aux trajectoires historiques distinctes mais partageant une dépendance commune aux mécanismes financiers communautaires pour lutter contre la pauvreté et l'exclusion financière. Malgré leurs contextes divergents, les deux nations sont confrontées à la question fondamentale de savoir comment les institutions financières informelles et semi-formelles peuvent efficacement servir les pauvres, construire un capital social et contribuer à la réduction durable de la pauvreté. La recherche emploie une méthodologie d'étude de cas comparative, s'appuyant sur des études empiriques, des rapports de projets, des évaluations d'impact et des analyses savantes couvrant la période 2020-2026. Le Bangladesh est mondialement reconnu comme le berceau de la microfinance moderne, le modèle pionnier de la Grameen Bank démontrant comment les prêts de groupe utilisant le capital social peuvent atteindre des taux de remboursement élevés tout en touchant des millions d'emprunteurs pauvres, principalement des femmes. Le modèle Grameen, développé depuis 1976, a institutionnalisé des pratiques incluant des groupes auto-sélectionnés de cinq personnes, l'épargne hebdomadaire obligatoire, les réunions publiques de remboursement et la surveillance par les pairs, créant une culture disciplinée d'épargne et de crédit qui a été répliquée dans le monde entier . En 2025, la seule Grameen Bank comptait des millions d'emprunteurs, avec des taux de remboursement constamment supérieurs à 95 %. Le succès du modèle a catalysé un vaste secteur de la microfinance au Bangladesh, incluant BRAC, ASA et de nombreuses ONG, atteignant environ 30 à 40 millions d'emprunteurs. La recherche sur la culture de la microfinance au Bangladesh met en évidence le rôle critique du capital social—où la réputation sociale des emprunteurs au sein de leur communauté sert de garantie—et l'importance des réunions hebdomadaires disciplinées pour renforcer les normes de remboursement . Cependant, des défis persistent, notamment les préoccupations concernant le surendettement, les taux d'intérêt élevés et la transformation de certaines institutions de microfinance en entités à but lucratif. Le modèle des Associations Villageoises d'Épargne et de Crédit (AVEC), pionnier de CARE au Niger en 1991 et ensuite adapté au Bangladesh, représente une approche différente axée sur l'épargne des membres plutôt que sur le capital externe. Une analyse complète de 2022 des programmes AVEC dans plusieurs pays, y compris le Bangladesh, a documenté des effets sociaux et financiers significatifs, les groupes démontrant une résilience remarquable pendant la pandémie de COVID-19 .

Le Burundi présente un paysage différent où les groupes d'épargne communautaires ont des racines culturelles profondes mais opèrent dans un contexte de pauvreté extrême, de faible infrastructure financière formelle et de fragilité post-conflit. Avec 73 % de la population vivant avec moins de 2,15 dollars par jour et le pays classé 185e sur 189 à l'indice de développement humain, les services financiers formels n'atteignent qu'une infime partie de la population . Les groupes d'épargne ont proliféré comme une réponse indigène à l'exclusion financière. La coopérative Twogororetwese (« Développons ensemble ») dans un village rural démontre le potentiel transformateur de l'action collective—les membres contribuent 500 BIF (environ 0,25 dollar australien) par semaine, constituant une épargne, accédant à des prêts et acquérant éventuellement des machines de transformation d'huile de palme et des chèvres . Le parcours de Sola Mbonigaruye, passant de « la personne la plus pauvre du village » à vice-présidente de sa coopérative, propriétaire de terres, constructrice d'une maison et gérante d'une petite entreprise commerciale, illustre comment les groupes d'épargne peuvent construire à la fois un capital financier et une dignité humaine. Le groupe d'épargne de Rumotomoto Hill dans la province de Bubanza, soutenu par Concern Worldwide, illustre la gouvernance structurée de ces groupes—avec des comités élus, une tenue de registres transparente et des fonds d'urgence pour soutenir les membres en période de crise comme les mauvaises récoltes ou les événements climatiques extrêmes . Un phénomène remarquable au Burundi est la réplication spontanée des groupes d'épargne. Après que Cord a établi 100 groupes d'entraide en 2023, les membres de la communauté ont formé 14 groupes supplémentaires sans aucun financement externe ni soutien direct. Le groupe Twiyungunganye, avec 29 membres, a maintenant plus de capital (96 chèvres, 1 tonne de haricots, 1 tonne de maïs) que le groupe établi qui les a encadrés . Une évaluation de 2015 des programmes de microfinance a documenté des résultats impressionnants : 85 045 clients actifs de la microfinance (81 % de femmes), et 2 272 groupes d'épargne comprenant 54 839 membres atteignant des taux de participation de 92,1 % et de rétention de 99,4 % .

La force du Bangladesh réside dans son secteur de microfinance institutionnalisé, la discipline rigoureuse du modèle Grameen, l'ampleur de sa portée et l'intégration des groupes d'épargne dans un écosystème financier plus large. Son défi consiste à gérer les tensions entre la mission sociale et la durabilité commerciale, et à garantir que les plus pauvres ne soient pas exclus par les exigences de montant des prêts. L'avantage du Burundi est la nature organique et ancrée dans la communauté de ses groupes d'épargne, la remarquable dynamique de réplication et l'intégration

du soutien mutuel avec le développement des moyens de subsistance. Les deux pays démontrent qu'une microfinance et des groupes d'épargne efficaces nécessitent : (1) un capital social exploitant les relations communautaires et la confiance ; (2) des structures d'épargne et de réunion disciplinées renforçant la discipline financière ; (3) une gouvernance transparente et une tenue de registres garantissant la responsabilité ; (4) des fonds d'urgence renforçant la résilience face aux chocs ; (5) des liens avec les institutions financières formelles pour passer à l'échelle ; (6) une conception inclusive du genre reconnaissant le rôle des femmes comme principales épargnantes et emprunteuses ; (7) l'intégration avec le soutien aux moyens de subsistance et la formation aux compétences ; et (8) la reconnaissance que l'inclusion financière ne concerne pas seulement l'accès au crédit mais la construction de la dignité, du capital social et de la solidarité communautaire.

MOTS-CLÉS: Microfinance, groupes d'épargne, Burundi, Bangladesh, Grameen Bank, Associations Villageoises d'Épargne et de Crédit (AVEC), capital social, inclusion financière, groupes d'entraide, réduction de la pauvreté

Suumis le : 17 Mars , 2026
Publié le : 30 A pril, 2026
Auteurs correspondant: *Nizeyimana Etienne, Iradukunda Sengesho Benigne and Nizeyimana Jean Baptiste*
Adresses électroniques: *nizeyimanaetienne@cirep.ac.cd,benigneira0@gmail.com, nizeyimana.jb@dphu.ac.cd ,*
Ce travail est disponible sous la licence
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International.

1. INTRODUCTION

Access to financial services is widely recognized as a critical pathway out of poverty. When people can save securely, access credit for investment, and insure against shocks, they can build assets, smooth consumption, and seize economic opportunities. Yet for the world's poorest populations, formal financial services remain out of reach. Banks require collateral, credit histories, and documentation that the poor do not possess. This financial exclusion perpetuates poverty, trapping people in cycles of vulnerability and limiting their ability to invest in their futures.

Microfinance and savings groups have emerged as powerful responses to this challenge. By leveraging social capital—the relationships, trust, and mutual accountability within communities—these institutions provide financial services to those whom formal banks cannot reach. They demonstrate that the poor are creditworthy, that they can save, and that they can build better lives when given the opportunity.

Burundi and Bangladesh, two countries with distinct historical trajectories yet shared challenges of poverty and financial exclusion, offer a compelling comparative lens through which to examine the dynamics of microfinance and savings group cultures. Bangladesh is the birthplace of modern microfinance. The Grameen Bank, founded by Muhammad Yunus in 1976, pioneered group lending using social collateral, demonstrating that the poor could repay loans at high rates and that microfinance could be a viable model for poverty reduction. The Grameen model's success catalyzed a vast microfinance sector in Bangladesh, reaching tens of millions of borrowers and inspiring replication worldwide .

Burundi presents a different landscape. With 73% of the population living on less than $2.15 per day and formal financial services reaching only a tiny fraction of the population, community-based savings groups have proliferated as an indigenous response to financial exclusion . These groups,

often supported by international NGOs but increasingly self-replicating, build on deep cultural traditions of mutual support. The Twogororetwese cooperative's transformation from a small savings group to a thriving community enterprise with palm oil processing and goat breeding illustrates the potential of collective action . The spontaneous formation of new groups, mentored by existing ones but operating without external funding, demonstrates the organic growth of savings group culture .

This study examines the comparative dynamics of microfinance and savings group cultures in Burundi and Bangladesh. Drawing on empirical studies, project reports, impact evaluations, and scholarly analyses, it explores how different models of community-based finance emerge, function, and contribute to poverty reduction. The analysis is organized around four interconnected themes: (1) the historical development and institutional models of microfinance and savings groups in each country; (2) the role of social capital and group dynamics in ensuring financial discipline; (3) the outcomes for poverty reduction and empowerment; and (4) challenges and opportunities for strengthening community-based finance.

The study contributes to scholarship on microfinance, financial inclusion, and community-based development. It argues that effective community finance requires not merely access to capital but the cultivation of social capital, transparent governance, and integration with broader livelihood support. The experiences of Burundi and Bangladesh—different in scale and institutionalization yet united by the power of collective action—offer lessons for countries seeking to build inclusive financial systems that serve the poorest.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Conceptualizing Microfinance and Savings Groups

Microfinance encompasses a range of financial services—credit, savings, insurance, payment systems—targeted at low-income populations excluded from formal banking. The term gained prominence with the success of the Grameen Bank in Bangladesh, which demonstrated that the poor could be reliable borrowers and that group lending could substitute for physical collateral (Yunus, 1999).

Savings groups represent a distinct approach within the microfinance landscape. Unlike traditional microfinance institutions that raise capital from external sources, savings groups mobilize members' own savings, which are then lent back to members. The Village Savings and Loan Association (VSLA) model, pioneered by CARE in Niger in 1991, has been widely replicated across Africa and Asia. Key features include member savings, internal lending, and distribution of accumulated savings and interest at the end of each cycle (Allen et al., 2021).

The concept of "social collateral" is central to understanding how group-based finance works. When borrowers are organized into groups with joint liability or peer monitoring, their social relationships—reputation, trust, mutual accountability—serve as guarantee. As the Adam Smith Institute analysis notes, "The very poor do still have an asset - their social reputation" . This insight, associated with Elinor Ostrom's work on common-pool resource management, explains how groups can achieve high repayment rates without physical collateral.

2.2 Bangladesh's Microfinance Revolution

Bangladesh's microfinance sector is among the most developed globally. Key features include:

- **Grameen Bank model:** Founded by Muhammad Yunus in 1976, Grameen Bank pioneered group lending with social collateral. The model involves self-selected groups of five, compulsory weekly savings, public repayment meetings, and peer monitoring. Loans are made to two members at a time; the group is ultimately responsible for repayment .
- **Scale:** By 2025, Grameen Bank alone had millions of borrowers, with repayment rates consistently above 95%. The broader microfinance sector, including BRAC, ASA, and numerous NGOs, reaches an estimated 30-40 million borrowers.
- **Women's empowerment:** Approximately 94% of Grameen Bank borrowers are women, reflecting the model's focus on women as reliable borrowers and as agents of household change .
- **Social collateral in practice:** The Grameen model leverages social relationships as guarantee. Potential borrowers are placed into groups who know each other well. Only one group member can have a loan at any time, creating social pressure to repay so that others can borrow .

- **Discipline and accountability:** Weekly public meetings where all transactions are openly conducted reinforce a culture of discipline, routine payments, and staff accountability. These meetings may be as important as peer monitoring in ensuring high repayment rates .
- **Village Savings and Loan Associations (VSLAs):** CARE's VSLA model has been adapted in Bangladesh, providing a member-savings-based alternative to externally-funded microfinance. A comprehensive 2022 analysis documented significant social and financial effects, with groups demonstrating remarkable resilience during COVID-19 .
- **Challenges:** Despite its success, Bangladesh's microfinance sector faces challenges including concerns about over-indebtedness, high interest rates, and the transformation of some MFIs into for-profit institutions pursuing commercial returns rather than social mission.

2.3 Burundi's Savings Group Landscape

Burundi's savings group landscape is shaped by extreme poverty, weak formal financial infrastructure, and deep cultural traditions of mutual support. Key features include:

- **Poverty context:** 73% of the population lives on less than $2.15 per day. Burundi is ranked 185th out of 189 countries on the Human Development Index .
- **Financial exclusion:** Formal financial services reach only a tiny fraction of the population. Most rural communities have no access to banks.
- **Savings groups as indigenous response:** Community-based savings groups have proliferated as an alternative to formal finance. Groups typically range from 10-30 members who meet weekly, pool savings, and lend to members.
- **Twogororetwese cooperative:** The name means "Let's develop together." Starting with weekly contributions of 500 BIF (about $0.25 AUD), the group grew to acquire palm oil processing machines and goats. Sola Mbonigaruye's journey from "the poorest person in the village" to vice-president, landowner, and businesswoman illustrates the transformative potential of collective action .
- **Structured governance:** The Rumotomoto Hill savings group in Bubanza Province has an elected committee comprising president, secretary, and operations manager. Transparent record-keeping ensures that cash in the box matches written records. An emergency fund, with each member contributing 200 BIF weekly, supports members during crises .

- **Spontaneous replication:** After Cord established 100 Self Help Groups in 2023, community members formed an additional 14 groups without external funding. The Twiyungunganye group, with 29 members, now has more capital (96 goats, 1 ton beans, 1 ton maize) than the established group that mentored them .
- **Scale:** A 2015 evaluation documented 2,272 savings groups comprising 54,839 members achieving 92.1% attendance and 99.4% retention rates .
- **Community microcredit:** Groups like Dufisekazoza ("we support each other") in Cibitoke demonstrate the ritual nature of weekly meetings. Members gather at 3 PM, verify attendance, collect social contributions, process credit repayments and new requests, and reconcile accounts. Strong bonds form—"We have become a family, each of us knows the other's profession, so we support each other" .

2.4 Social Capital and Group Dynamics

Both countries' experiences highlight the critical role of social capital in group-based finance. Key insights include:

- **Reputation as collateral:** In Bangladesh, social reputation within the community serves as guarantee. Borrowers who default face social sanctions that are more powerful than any formal enforcement mechanism .
- **Peer monitoring:** Group members monitor each other's activities, ensuring that loans are used productively and that repayments are made. This reduces the information and enforcement costs that make formal lending to the poor difficult .
- **Mutual support:** In Burundi, groups explicitly build mutual support into their structures. Emergency funds help members weather crises. Members know each other's professions and can refer business to one another .
- **Collective identity:** Group names like "Let's develop together" and "we support each other" reflect a collective identity that reinforces commitment and solidarity.
- **Governance structures:** Both countries demonstrate the importance of transparent governance. Elected committees, regular meetings, and transparent record-keeping build trust and ensure accountability.

2.5 Outcomes for Poverty Reduction and Empowerment

Research documents significant outcomes from microfinance and savings groups in both countries.

Bangladesh:

- **Economic outcomes:** Access to credit enables investment in small businesses, income generation, and asset building.
- **Social outcomes:** Women's participation in microfinance is associated with increased decision-making power, mobility, and status within households and communities.
- **Resilience:** Savings and access to credit help households weather shocks without selling productive assets.

Burundi:

- **Economic transformation:** Sola's journey from being unable to afford salt to owning land, building a house, and running a trading business .
- **Asset accumulation:** The Twiyungunganye group's accumulation of 96 goats, 1 ton beans, and 1 ton maize .
- **Empowerment:** Sola's observation that "Now, I'm recognized in my community. People come to the cooperative, and we talk. We help each other" reflects the dignity and social standing that participation brings .
- **Community-wide effects:** New businesses boost local economies. Groups engage in community work and help those in need .

3. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

This study addresses the following research questions:

1: How do the historical development and institutional models of microfinance and savings groups differ between Burundi and Bangladesh, and what factors explain these differences?

2: What role does social capital play in ensuring financial discipline and group sustainability in each context, and how do group dynamics vary across models?

3: What evidence exists on the outcomes of microfinance and savings groups for poverty reduction, women's empowerment, and community resilience?

4. METHODOLOGY

This study employs a comparative case study methodology to examine microfinance and savings group cultures in Burundi and Bangladesh. The research design integrates document analysis of primary sources with synthesis of secondary literature to develop a comprehensive understanding of community-based finance dynamics in both countries.

Primary sources analyzed include: project reports from Australian Lutheran World Service , Concern Worldwide , Cord , and AMU ; impact evaluations and research syntheses ; scholarly analyses of Grameen Bank and microfinance models ; and FinDev Gateway publications documenting regulatory environments and program outcomes .

The comparative framework examines four dimensions of microfinance and savings groups: (1) historical development and institutional models; (2) social capital and group dynamics; (3) outcomes for poverty reduction and empowerment; and (4) challenges and opportunities.

The analysis covers the period from approximately 2020 to 2026, capturing recent developments including post-pandemic resilience and emerging research on savings groups.

5. RESULTS

5.1 Historical Development and Institutional Models

Bangladesh: Bangladesh's microfinance sector has developed over five decades, achieving remarkable scale and institutional sophistication. Key features include:

- **Grameen Bank (1976):** Muhammad Yunus's pioneering experiment demonstrated that the poor could be reliable borrowers. The model evolved through trial and error, developing the group lending methodology that became globally influential .

- **Key institutional features (Grameen model):**

  - Self-selected groups of five members, with similar economic background
  - Separate groups for men and women
  - Membership restricted to those with assets below threshold
  - Compulsory weekly savings throughout membership
  - Loans made to two members at a time, repaid in 50 weekly installments
  - 5% of loan amount paid into group fund
  - Group ultimately responsible for repayment
  - Groups organized into development centers with weekly meetings
  - All transactions conducted openly at center meetings
- **Scale and replication:** By 2025, Grameen Bank alone had millions of borrowers. BRAC, ASA, and numerous NGOs adopted and adapted the model, creating a vast microfinance sector reaching 30-40 million borrowers.
- **VSLA model:** CARE introduced Village Savings and Loan Associations in Bangladesh, providing a member-savings-based alternative. A 2022 analysis documented significant social and financial effects .
- **Regulatory environment:** Bangladesh developed regulatory frameworks for microfinance, including the Microcredit Regulatory Authority, balancing promotion with consumer protection.

Burundi: Burundi's savings group landscape has developed more organically, with significant NGO support but remarkable community-led replication. Key features include:

- **Post-conflict context:** Burundi's recent history of conflict and instability has limited formal financial sector development, creating space for community-based alternatives.
- **NGO-supported models:** Organizations including Concern Worldwide, Cord, ALWS, and AMU have supported savings group formation, providing training in group governance, savings and credit, and business skills .
- **Key institutional features (Burundi model):**

  - Groups typically 10-30 members, meeting weekly
  - Member savings pooled and lent to members
  - Elected committees (president, secretary, operations manager)
  - Transparent record-keeping, cash reconciled at each meeting

- Emergency funds for crisis support
- Links to livelihood training and support

- **Spontaneous replication:** A distinctive feature of Burundi's savings group landscape is the organic spread of groups without external funding. After Cord established 100 Self Help Groups, community members formed 14 additional groups independently .
- **Scale:** By 2015, documented programs included 2,272 savings groups with 54,839 members, achieving 92.1% attendance and 99.4% retention .

Table 1: Comparative Historical Development and Institutional Models

Dimension	**Bangladesh**	**Burundi**
Origins	Grameen Bank (1976); formal microfinance pioneer	Community-based savings groups; NGO-supported from 2000s
Primary model	Grameen group lending with external capital	Member-savings groups (VSLA model)
Institutional features	Self-selected groups of 5; compulsory weekly savings; public meetings; group liability	Groups of 10-30; elected committees; emergency funds; livelihood training
Scale	Millions of borrowers; 30-40 million reached by sector	54,839 members (2015); 2,272 groups
Regulatory framework	Microcredit Regulatory Authority	Limited; informal sector
Distinctive feature	Social collateral; disciplined weekly meetings; massive scale	Spontaneous replication; community-rooted; high retention (99.4%)

5.2 Social Capital and Group Dynamics

Bangladesh: Social capital is central to the Grameen model's functioning. Key features include:

- **Social collateral:** Borrowers' social reputation within their community serves as guarantee. Potential borrowers are placed into groups who should know each other well. There is considerable social pressure to repay so that others can borrow .
- **Peer monitoring:** Group members monitor each other's activities, ensuring loans are used productively and repayments made. This reduces information and enforcement costs .
- **Group liability:** The group is ultimately responsible for repayment if an individual defaults, creating strong collective incentives for discipline.
- **Weekly meetings:** All transactions are openly conducted at center meetings. This reinforces a culture of discipline, routine payments, and staff accountability .
- **Social bonds:** Over time, groups develop strong social bonds that support members beyond financial transactions.

Burundi: Social capital is equally central to Burundi's savings groups, manifesting in distinct ways. Key features include:

- **Collective identity:** Group names like "Twogororetwese" ("Let's develop together") and "Dufisekazoza" ("we support each other") reflect a collective identity that reinforces commitment .
- **Transparent governance:** Elected committees and transparent record-keeping build trust. At each meeting, the cashbox is opened and reconciled against written records .
- **Emergency funds:** Groups maintain emergency funds to support members during crises—crop failure, illness, extreme weather. This builds resilience and reinforces mutual commitment .
- **Mutual support networks:** Members develop strong bonds, knowing each other's professions and referring business. "We have become a family, each of us knows the other's profession, so we support each other in a way that if someone needs a service or a product, they know who to turn to" .
- **Peer mentoring:** Established groups mentor new groups, transferring knowledge and reinforcing savings culture without external funding .
- **Community recognition:** Members like Sola gain status and recognition within their communities, reinforcing the social value of participation .

Table 2: Comparative Social Capital and Group Dynamics

Dimension	**Bangladesh**	**Burundi**
Collateral mechanism	Social reputation as guarantee	Mutual support; emergency funds
Peer monitoring	Active monitoring; group liability	Informal; embedded in relationships
Meeting structure	Weekly center meetings; all transactions public	Weekly group meetings; transparent record-keeping
Mutual support	Implicit in group liability	Explicit through emergency funds; business referrals
Collective identity	Reinforced through center meetings	Group names; "we have become a family"
Replication mechanism	Formal expansion by MFIs	Spontaneous mentoring; community-led

5.3 Outcomes for Poverty Reduction and Empowerment

Bangladesh: Measured outcomes from Bangladesh's microfinance sector demonstrate significant achievements and persistent challenges.

- **Economic outcomes:** Access to credit enables investment in small businesses, income generation, and asset building. The Grameen model has demonstrated that the poor can be reliable borrowers, with repayment rates consistently above 95% .
- **Scale of outreach:** The microfinance sector reaches an estimated 30-40 million borrowers, making it one of the largest poverty-targeted financial systems globally.
- **Women's empowerment:** Approximately 94% of Grameen Bank borrowers are women. Research documents associations between microfinance participation and increased decision-making power, mobility, and status within households and communities .
- **Resilience:** Access to savings and credit helps households weather shocks without selling productive assets.

- **Challenges:** Concerns persist about over-indebtedness, high interest rates, and mission drift as MFIs pursue commercial sustainability.

Burundi: Measured outcomes from Burundi's savings groups demonstrate transformative impacts at individual and community levels.

- **Economic transformation:** Sola's journey from being unable to afford salt to owning land, building a house, and running a trading business illustrates the transformative potential of savings groups .
- **Asset accumulation:** The Twiyungunganye group accumulated 96 goats, 1 ton of beans, and 1 ton of maize, exceeding the assets of the established group that mentored them .
- **Business development:** Group members have started diverse enterprises—soap production, mechanical services, parts sales, motorcycle taxis, trading businesses .
- **Income and employment:** Loans as small as 15,000 BIF (about €4.80) enabled poultry purchases that generated profits . Small businesses boost local economies and create employment.
- **Empowerment and dignity:** Sola's reflection captures the transformation: "Before, I couldn't go to places with too many people. Now I have more self-esteem. I speak up because I know the group chose me" . Perrine went from "virtually a beggar" to being able to support herself and her children .
- **Community recognition:** "Now, I'm recognized in my community. People come to the cooperative, and we talk. We help each other" .
- **Retention and attendance:** Documented attendance of 92.1% and retention of 99.4% demonstrate the value members place on participation .

Table 3: Comparative Outcomes for Poverty Reduction and Empowerment

Dimension	**Bangladesh**	**Burundi**
Economic outcomes	Business investment; income generation	Asset accumulation; enterprise development
Scale	30-40 million borrowers	54,839 members (documented)

Women's participation	~94% of borrowers	Significant; 25 women, 4 men in Twiyungunganye
Empowerment	Increased decision-making; mobility	Self-esteem; recognition; dignity
Resilience	Shock absorption	Emergency funds; mutual support
Repayment/retention	>95% repayment	99.4% retention; 92.1% attendance

5.4 Challenges and Opportunities

Bangladesh: Bangladesh's microfinance sector faces persistent challenges.

Challenges:

- **Over-indebtedness:** Multiple borrowing and competition among MFIs can trap borrowers in debt cycles.
- **Interest rates:** High interest rates charged by some MFIs raise questions about poverty reduction effectiveness.
- **Mission drift:** Commercialization and transformation of NGOs into for-profit institutions may shift focus from poorest clients.
- **Exclusion of poorest:** Even within microfinance, the poorest may be excluded due to loan size requirements or perceived risk.
- **Regulatory balance:** Balancing promotion of microfinance with consumer protection remains challenging.

Opportunities:

- **Digital financial services:** Mobile money and digital platforms can reduce costs and expand reach.
- **Product diversification:** Savings, insurance, and payment services beyond credit can better meet client needs.

- **Client protection:** Enhanced regulation and industry self-regulation can address over-indebtedness and fair treatment.
- **Integration with development services:** Linking microfinance with health, education, and livelihood programs can enhance impact.

Burundi: Burundi's savings groups face distinct challenges and opportunities.

Challenges:

- **Limited scale:** Despite impressive growth, savings groups reach only a fraction of the population in need.
- **Weak formal linkages:** Limited connection to formal financial institutions constrains ability to scale and access additional capital.
- **Climate vulnerability:** Agriculture-dependent livelihoods are highly vulnerable to extreme weather, which emergency funds can only partially mitigate .
- **Post-conflict fragility:** Political instability and displacement disrupt groups and undermine trust.
- **Limited product diversification:** Groups focus primarily on savings and credit, with limited insurance or other services.

Opportunities:

- **Spontaneous replication:** The organic spread of groups without external funding demonstrates potential for community-led scaling .
- **Formal linkages:** Connecting savings groups to formal microfinance institutions could provide access to additional capital while preserving group governance .
- **Digital financial services:** Mobile money could reduce transaction costs and enhance security, though infrastructure remains limited.
- **Integration with livelihood support:** Linking savings groups with agricultural training, market access, and climate resilience programs could enhance impact .
- **Peer learning networks:** Mentoring relationships between established and new groups could be systematized to accelerate replication.

Table 4: Comparative Challenges and Opportunities

Dimension	**Bangladesh**	**Burundi**
Key challenges	Over-indebtedness; high interest rates; mission drift; exclusion of poorest	Limited scale; weak formal linkages; climate vulnerability; post-conflict fragility
Key opportunities	Digital finance; product diversification; client protection; integration with services	Spontaneous replication; formal linkages; peer learning; livelihood integration
Scale potential	Already massive; continued growth through formal sector	Organic replication; potential for community-led scaling
External linkages	Formal MFIs, banks, mobile money	Limited; opportunity for formal sector linkages

5.5 Summary of Comparative Findings

Table 5: Comprehensive Comparative Analysis

Dimension	**Bangladesh**	**Burundi**
Historical origins	Grameen Bank (1976); formal microfinance pioneer	Community-based savings groups; NGO-supported
Institutional model	Group lending with external capital; self-selected groups of 5	Member-savings groups (10-30 members); elected committees
Social collateral	Social reputation as guarantee; peer monitoring; group liability	Mutual support; emergency funds; transparent governance
Meeting structure	Weekly center meetings; all transactions public	Weekly group meetings; cash reconciled; emergency contributions
Scale	30-40 million borrowers	54,839 members (documented)

Women's participation	~94% of borrowers	Significant; e.g., 25 women in Twiyungunganye
Economic outcomes	Business investment; income generation	Asset accumulation; enterprise development
Empowerment	Increased decision-making; mobility	Self-esteem; community recognition; dignity
Resilience	Shock absorption through savings/credit	Emergency funds; mutual support
Retention/repayment	>95% repayment	99.4% retention; 92.1% attendance
Replication mechanism	Formal expansion by MFIs	Spontaneous mentoring; community-led
Key challenges	Over-indebtedness; mission drift; exclusion of poorest	Limited scale; climate vulnerability; formal linkages
Key opportunities	Digital finance; product diversification	Organic replication; livelihood integration; formal linkages

6. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

6.1 Explaining Divergent Pathways: Institutional Development and Cultural Context

The differences between Bangladesh's and Burundi's approaches to community-based finance reflect distinct historical trajectories, institutional capacities, and cultural contexts.

Bangladesh's microfinance revolution emerged from a specific historical moment—Muhammad Yunus's experimentation in the 1970s, followed by decades of institutional development, replication, and scaling. The Grameen model's success catalyzed a vast sector, supported by enabling regulation, international attention, and the Nobel Peace Prize's legitimizing effect.

Bangladesh's dense population, relatively stable development context, and gradual economic growth provided conditions for scaling.

Burundi's savings group landscape is shaped by different realities. Decades of conflict and instability have limited formal institutional development, creating space for community-based alternatives. Extreme poverty and geographic isolation mean that formal financial services are simply unavailable for most rural communities. In this context, savings groups are not an alternative to formal finance—they are the only finance available. The remarkable spontaneous replication of groups after Cord's intervention suggests deep cultural resonance with collective action models .

The contrast illustrates that community-based finance is not a single model but a family of approaches that must be adapted to local contexts. Bangladesh's formalized, scaled model could not be transplanted to Burundi; Burundi's organic, community-rooted groups could not achieve Bangladesh's scale without different institutional arrangements.

6.2 The Centrality of Social Capital

Both countries' experiences underscore that social capital is not merely a nice-to-have addition to financial services but the very foundation upon which group-based finance is built. The Adam Smith Institute's observation that "the very poor do still have an asset - their social reputation" captures a profound truth . When people have no physical collateral, no credit history, no formal guarantees, they still have relationships, reputation, and community standing. Microfinance and savings groups succeed because they mobilize these assets.

In Bangladesh, social capital operates through peer monitoring, group liability, and the social pressure to repay so that others can borrow. The group structure harnesses the power of reputation—default brings shame and social sanction far more powerful than any formal enforcement mechanism .

In Burundi, social capital manifests through mutual support, emergency funds, and the deep bonds that transform groups into families. Members know each other's professions, refer business, and

support each other in crisis. The group name "Dufisekazoza"—"we support each other"—is not just a slogan but a description of how the group functions .

The implication is that financial inclusion interventions cannot simply parachute capital into communities; they must build on and strengthen existing social relationships. The Grameen model's careful design—self-selected groups, compulsory meetings, public transactions—can be seen as a technology for cultivating and channeling social capital. Burundi's groups demonstrate that similar outcomes can emerge from organic community processes when appropriately supported.

6.3 The Power of Discipline and Ritual

Both countries' experiences highlight the importance of disciplined structures and ritualized meetings. The Grameen model's weekly center meetings, where all transactions are conducted openly, reinforce a culture of discipline, routine payments, and accountability. These meetings are not merely administrative—they are rituals that reaffirm commitment, build trust, and create social pressure for compliance .

Burundi's groups have their own rituals: the Tuesday afternoon meeting in Cibitoke, the opening of the blue cashbox, the verification of attendance, the counting of contributions, the reconciliation of records. "We verify the amount in the cashbox against our records. Each member's contribution is checked. We ensure that the cash in the box matches the amount written in our books" . These practices build trust through transparency and create a predictable structure that members can rely on.

The high retention rates documented in Burundi—99.4%—suggest that members find immense value in these rituals and the relationships they sustain .

6.4 From Beneficiaries to Leaders

The transformation of individuals from passive recipients of aid to active leaders and agents of change is one of the most striking outcomes documented in both countries. Sola's journey from "the poorest person in the village" to vice-president of her cooperative, landowner, and respected

community leader illustrates this trajectory . Perrine's transition from "virtually a beggar" to someone who can support herself and her children . Richard's evolution from needing credit to producing and selling soap .

This transformation operates at multiple levels. Economically, people acquire assets, generate income, and build security. Socially, they gain status, recognition, and voice. Psychologically, they develop confidence, self-esteem, and hope. Sola's observation that "Before, I couldn't go to places with too many people. Now I have more self-esteem. I speak up because I know the group chose me" captures this multidimensional change.

The implication is that financial inclusion is not merely about access to capital but about dignity, agency, and belonging. Programs that treat poor people as passive beneficiaries miss the opportunity to cultivate the very capabilities that enable sustained poverty reduction.

6.5 Replication and Scaling

The contrasting replication mechanisms in the two countries offer important lessons for scaling community-based finance. Bangladesh scaled through formal institutional replication—new MFIs, branch networks, standardized products, regulatory frameworks. This approach achieved massive scale but carries risks of mission drift, bureaucratization, and loss of community connection.

Burundi's spontaneous replication—groups mentoring new groups without external funding—suggests an alternative pathway. The Twiyungunganye group, mentored by an established group, now has more capital than its mentors . This organic growth preserves the community-rooted character of groups while potentially achieving scale through multiplication rather than expansion.

The challenge for Burundi is whether this spontaneous replication can achieve scale sufficient to reach millions of people. The 2,272 groups documented by 2015 represent significant reach but remain far from the 30-40 million reached in Bangladesh. Complementary strategies—formal linkages, targeted support, enabling regulation—may be needed to accelerate replication while preserving the organic character that makes Burundi's groups effective.

6.6 Implications for Policy and Practice

The findings have significant implications for policy and practice in community-based finance.

First, social collateral works. Both countries demonstrate that the poor can be reliable borrowers when lending is structured to leverage social relationships. Policy should recognize and support group-based lending models rather than imposing collateral requirements that exclude the poor.

Second, discipline matters. The ritualized meetings, transparent record-keeping, and structured processes in both countries are not bureaucratic overhead but essential infrastructure for building trust and ensuring accountability. Programs should invest in governance training and systems that support these practices.

Third, integration enhances impact. Burundi's groups that combine savings and credit with livelihood training, enterprise support, and emergency funds achieve outcomes beyond what finance alone could deliver . Financial inclusion should be integrated with broader development interventions.

Fourth, women's participation drives results. Both countries document high women's participation and significant empowerment outcomes. Programs should be designed with gender analysis from the outset, recognizing women's distinct constraints and opportunities.

Fifth, spontaneous replication is possible. Burundi's experience demonstrates that groups can spread organically without external funding when supported with initial training and mentoring . Development interventions should cultivate conditions for self-replication rather than assuming ongoing external support is necessary.

Sixth, formal linkages can complement community models. While Burundi's groups have thrived independently, linking them to formal financial institutions could provide access to additional capital while preserving group governance . Hybrid models warrant exploration.

Seventh, context matters. The Bangladesh model cannot be transplanted to Burundi; the Burundi model cannot achieve Bangladesh's scale without different institutional arrangements. Interventions must be adapted to local contexts, building on existing institutions and cultural practices.

7. CONCLUSION

This comparative analysis of microfinance and savings group cultures in Burundi and Bangladesh reveals two distinct pathways shaped by different historical trajectories, institutional capacities, and cultural contexts. Bangladesh, as the birthplace of modern microfinance, has developed a formalized, scaled model reaching tens of millions of borrowers. The Grameen Bank's pioneering use of social collateral, disciplined weekly meetings, and group liability has demonstrated that the poor are creditworthy and that microfinance can be a viable tool for poverty reduction . Yet challenges persist—over-indebtedness, high interest rates, mission drift—that require ongoing attention.

Burundi presents a different picture. In a context of extreme poverty, weak formal institutions, and post-conflict fragility, community-based savings groups have proliferated as an indigenous response to financial exclusion. Groups like Twogororetwese, Rumotomoto Hill, Dufisekazoza, and Twiyungunganye demonstrate the transformative potential of collective action . The spontaneous replication of groups without external funding and the remarkable retention rates of 99.4% attest to the deep resonance of these models with Burundian communities. Individual transformations—Sola's journey from poorest in her village to respected leader, Perrine's transition from begging to self-sufficiency—illustrate that financial inclusion is about more than money; it is about dignity, agency, and belonging .

Several cross-cutting conclusions emerge from this analysis.

First, social capital is the foundation**.** Both countries demonstrate that the poor possess an invaluable asset—their social reputation and community relationships. Effective microfinance and savings groups mobilize this asset, leveraging peer monitoring, mutual support, and collective identity to ensure financial discipline and build resilience.

Second, disciplined structures enable trust**.** The ritualized meetings, transparent record-keeping, and accountable governance documented in both countries are not bureaucratic formalities but essential infrastructure for building the trust that makes group-based finance possible.

Third, integration enhances impact**.** The most transformative outcomes occur when financial services are integrated with livelihood support, skills training, and mutual aid. Burundi's groups

that combine savings with emergency funds, business development, and community work achieve outcomes that pure finance could not deliver.

Fourth, women's participation drives empowerment**.** Both countries document high women's participation and significant empowerment outcomes. Women's control over savings and credit translates into increased status, decision-making power, and voice within households and communities.

Fifth, replication can be organic**.** Burundi's experience of spontaneous group formation, with established groups mentoring new ones without external funding, demonstrates an alternative scaling pathway that preserves community-rooted character while expanding reach.

Sixth, context shapes models. The Bangladesh model could not be transplanted to Burundi; the Burundi model could not achieve Bangladesh's scale without different institutional arrangements. Interventions must be adapted to local contexts, building on existing institutions and cultural practices.

For Bangladesh, the path forward involves addressing the tensions within its mature microfinance sector—managing over-indebtedness, ensuring fair treatment of borrowers, maintaining focus on the poorest, and leveraging digital technologies to enhance reach and reduce costs. The VSLA model's expansion offers opportunities to complement credit-focused approaches with savings-led alternatives .

For Burundi, the priority is supporting the organic growth of savings groups while addressing limitations of scale and formal linkages. Building on the spontaneous replication dynamic, strengthening group governance, exploring connections to formal financial institutions, and integrating climate resilience into group structures could accelerate progress. The documented outcomes—99.4% retention, transformative individual stories—suggest that Burundi's savings groups are doing something right and deserve support to reach more communities .

For the broader community of scholars and practitioners, this comparison underscores that financial inclusion is not merely about access to credit but about cultivating the social relationships, disciplined practices, and mutual support that enable poor communities to build better lives. The experiences of Burundi and Bangladesh—different in scale and

institutionalization yet united by the power of collective action—offer lessons for all countries seeking to build inclusive financial systems that serve the poorest.

8. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

This study has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, the comparative analysis relies primarily on secondary sources and project documentation rather than primary data collection. Direct fieldwork in both countries, including interviews with group members, MFI managers, and policymakers, would strengthen comparative insights.

Second, data availability differs between the two countries. Bangladesh benefits from extensive academic research, official statistics, and policy documentation. Burundi's data is more limited, with much information drawn from project reports and impact evaluations.

Third, the time periods covered differ. Bangladesh's microfinance sector has been studied for decades; Burundi's savings group documentation is more recent and less comprehensive.

Fourth, the study focuses on community-based finance with limited attention to the broader economic and political contexts that shape opportunities and constraints.

Fifth, the voice of group members—particularly those who may not have benefited or who dropped out—is mediated through reports rather than captured directly.

9. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author acknowledges the foundational contributions of institutions and researchers whose work enables this comparative analysis. Australian Lutheran World Service's documentation of Sola Mbonigaruye's story and Concern Worldwide's profile of the Rumotomoto Hill savings group provide essential insights into Burundi's savings group culture. Cord's account of Self Help Group replication and AMU's description of the Dufisekazoza group's weekly meetings illuminate the organic growth and ritual practices of Burundian groups. The comprehensive VSLA analysis by Heather Krause and the We All Count research team and the Government of Canada's project evaluation provide rigorous evidence on outcomes. The Adam

Smith Institute's reflection on microfinance and the nzdl documentation of Grameen Bank's methodology offer foundational insights into Bangladesh's microfinance model. Nishi Malhotra's Emerald Publishing case study provides contemporary analysis of Grameen Bank. The FinDev Gateway publications document enabling environments and program outcomes across both countries. The author also acknowledges the countless group members whose daily practices of saving, lending, and mutual support are the subject of this analysis.

10. AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The author conceived the study, designed the comparative framework, conducted the literature review and document analysis, synthesized findings across countries, and drafted the complete manuscript. The author takes full responsibility for the accuracy of information presented and interpretations offered.

11. COMPETING INTERESTS

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential competing interest.

12. AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

As sole author, I performed all roles including conceptualization, methodology development, investigation, formal analysis, writing, review, editing, and project administration.

13. FUNDING INFORMATION

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

14. DATA AVAILABILITY

All sources analyzed in this study are publicly available documents, including news reports, academic publications, project reports, and international organization documents. Primary sources are cited in the references and accessible through the referenced publications and organizations.

15. DISCLAIMER

The views expressed in this article are solely those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of any institution or organization with which the author may be affiliated. The analysis is provided for scholarly purposes and should not be construed as policy guidance or investment advice. Microfinance and savings group practices are subject to change, and readers should consult current official sources for specific information about Burundi, Bangladesh, and financial inclusion policy.

16. REFERENCES

1. Australian Lutheran World Service. (2025, June 18). How a Savings Group Sparked a Village Revival. https://www.alws.org.au/news-and-stories/how-a-savings-group-sparked-a-village-revival/
2. Adam Smith Institute. (2024, June 11). The true joy of microfinance. https://www.adamsmith.org/blog/the-true-joy-of-microfinance
3. Krause, H., & We All Count research team. (2022, October 25). VSLA By the Numbers: A Comprehensive Analysis of the Impact and ROI of VSLAs. ReliefWeb. https://reliefweb.int/report/bangladesh/vsla-numbers-comprehensive-analysis-impact-and-roi-vslas
4. Concern Worldwide. (2024, January 17). Solidarity and Loans - How Burundians are supporting one another through mutual finance. https://www.concern.net/news/burundi-community-savings-loans

5. New Zealand Digital Library. (n.d.). Microfinance and poverty reduction: 1.3 Making use of social collateral. https://www.nzdl.org/cgi-bin/library?e=d-00000-00---off-0hdl--00-0----0-10-0---0---0direct-10---4-------0-0l--11-en-50---20-about---00-0-1-00-0-0-11-1-0utfZz-8-10&a=d&c=hdl&cl=CL3.40&d=HASH4941c05842ecf9a67d47c1.3.3
6. FinDev Gateway. (n.d.). Publications: Bangladesh & Burundi. https://www.microfinancegateway.org/publications?pg=2&f%5B0%5D=countries%3A3286&f%5B1%5D=countries%3A3591&f%5B2%5D=topics%3A4541
7. Cord. (2025, October 27). Thank You for supporting Self Help Groups this Harvest. https://cord.org.uk/thank-you-for-supporting-self-help-groups-this-harvest/
8. Malhotra, N. (2025). Power of Collectives in Combatting Resource Poverty: A Case Study of Grameen Bank in Bangladesh. In *Global Perspectives on Financial Inclusion: Case Studies in Microfinance and Women's Empowerment*. Emerald Publishing. https://www.emerald.com/books/monograph/17109/chapter-abstract/94110802/Power-of-Collectives-in-Combatting-Resource
9. Government of Canada. (2017, January 21). Project profile — Partnering for Microfinance Development and Impact Program 2010-2015. https://w05.international.gc.ca/projectbrowser-banqueprojets/project-projet/details/s065028001
10. Azione per un Mondo Unito ETS. (2025, February 10). Microcredit: Tuesday afternoon meeting. https://www.amu-it.eu/en/microcredit-tuesday-afternoon-meeting/