Journal de Recherche Multidisciplinaire
ISSN : 3088-5205 https://jorep.net

Volume : 1
Numéro : 2
Année : 2025
Mois : Mars

INNOVATIVE FINANCING MECHANISMS FOR CLIMATE ACTION IN THE CONGO BASIN: OVERCOMING CONSTRAINTS TO MOBILIZE RESOURCES FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Abdrassoul Nour [1]

Iradukunda Sengesho Benigne2

Nizeyimana Jean Baptiste3

1. Joint Reseacher at Lisala University and UNCR University, Development of Sustainable development
2. Joint MPhil Researcher at Lisala University and UNCR University, IT Department, Film and TV Production
3. Lecturer at Lisala University, Faculty of Psychology and Education, Department of Technopedagogy

ABSTRACT

The mobilization of innovative financing mechanisms for climate action is essential for achieving sustainable development in the Congo Basin. Yet, significant constraints limit the deployment of these mechanisms, including financial, technical, institutional, and political barriers. This article addresses the fourth specific objective of a broader HDR research project: to determine the impact of the development of flexible financing plans and bankable projects on the mobilization of innovative climate finance for the Congo Basin. Drawing on resilience theory, sustainable finance

[1] Joint Reseacher at Lisala University and UNCR University, Department of Biomedical Sciences

frameworks, risk management theory, and economic incentives theory, the research examines the conditions under which innovative financing mechanisms can effectively mobilize resources for climate action. The analysis reveals that the development of flexible financing plans and bankable projects is not a significant constraint for the mobilization of innovative climate finance when enabling conditions are in place. Key enabling conditions include: stable regulatory frameworks, strong institutional capacity, effective risk mitigation instruments, and alignment of incentives across actors. The article concludes that overcoming the constraints to innovative climate finance requires a comprehensive approach that addresses financial, technical, institutional, and political barriers simultaneously.

Keywords: Climate finance, innovative financing, Congo Basin, bankable projects, sustainable development, green bonds.

RÉSUMÉ (FRENCH)

La mobilisation de mécanismes de financement innovants pour l'action climatique est essentielle pour atteindre un développement durable dans le Bassin du Congo. Pourtant, des contraintes significatives limitent le déploiement de ces mécanismes, y compris des barrières financières, techniques, institutionnelles et politiques. Cet article répond au quatrième objectif spécifique d'un projet de recherche HDR plus large : déterminer l'impact du développement des plans de financement flexibles et des projets bancables sur la mobilisation des financements innovants en matière de changement climatique en faveur du Bassin du Congo. S'appuyant sur la théorie de la résilience, les cadres de finance durable, la théorie de la gestion des risques et la théorie des incitations économiques, la recherche examine les conditions dans lesquelles les mécanismes de financement innovants peuvent mobiliser efficacement des ressources pour l'action climatique. L'analyse révèle que le développement de plans de financement flexibles et de projets bancables n'est pas une contrainte significative pour la mobilisation des financements climatiques innovants lorsque les conditions favorables sont réunies. Les principales conditions favorables incluent : des cadres réglementaires stables, une capacité institutionnelle forte, des instruments d'atténuation des risques efficaces et un alignement des incitations entre les acteurs. L'article conclut que surmonter les contraintes au financement innovant du climat nécessite une approche globale qui aborde simultanément les barrières financières, techniques, institutionnelles et politiques.

Mots-clés: Financement climatique, financement innovant, Bassin du Congo, projets bancables, développement durable, obligations vertes.

Suumis le : 17 Mars , 2026
Publié le : 08 Jun , 2026
Auteurs correspondant: *Abdrassoul Nour,Iradukunda Sengesho Benigne and Nizeyimana Jean Baptiste*
Adresses électroniques: *abdrassoulnour@cirep.ac.cd,benigneira0@gmail.com, nizeyimana.jb@dphu.ac.cd ,*
Ce travail est disponible sous la licence
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International

1. INTRODUCTION

The mobilization of innovative financing mechanisms for climate action is essential for achieving sustainable development in the Congo Basin. Traditional sources of climate finance—public funds, multilateral development banks, and bilateral donors—have proven insufficient to meet the region's needs (Buchner et al., 2021; Climate Policy Initiative, 2022). Innovative financing mechanisms, including green bonds, blended finance, results-based payments, and carbon markets, offer the potential to mobilize private capital and unlock new sources of funding (Boucher, 2018; World Bank, 2022).

However, significant constraints limit the deployment of these mechanisms in the Congo Basin. These include financial constraints (high upfront costs, limited risk mitigation), technical constraints (lack of bankable projects, limited capacity), institutional constraints (weak regulatory frameworks, lack of coordination), and political constraints (limited political will, unstable environments) (COMIFAC, 2020; Eba'a Atyi, 2018).

This article addresses the fourth specific objective of the broader HDR research project: to determine the impact of the development of flexible financing plans and bankable projects on the mobilization of innovative climate finance for the Congo Basin.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 Resilience Theory Applied to Climate Finance

Resilience theory, developed in ecology and subsequently applied to social-ecological systems, emphasizes the capacity of systems to absorb shocks, adapt to changing conditions, and transform in response to crises (Holling, 1973; Walker & Salt, 2006). In the context of climate finance, resilience refers to the capacity of financing systems to continue functioning in the face of climate impacts, economic shocks, and political instability.

Key concepts from resilience theory that are relevant to climate finance include: adaptive capacity (the ability to adjust to changing conditions); transformability (the ability to create a fundamentally new system when ecological, economic, or social conditions make the existing system untenable); and panarchy (the nested, cross-scale structure of social-ecological systems) (Gunderson & Holling, 2002; Walker & Salt, 2006).

2.2 Sustainable Finance Frameworks

Sustainable finance refers to the integration of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria into financial decision-making (Schoenmaker, 2017; UNEP, 2016). Key instruments of sustainable finance include: green bonds (debt instruments whose proceeds are used for environmentally beneficial projects); social bonds (debt instruments whose proceeds are used for socially beneficial projects); sustainability-linked bonds (debt instruments whose terms are linked to sustainability performance); and blended finance (the strategic use of public funds to mobilize private capital) (OECD, 2020; World Bank, 2022).

2.3 Risk Management Theory

Risk management theory provides frameworks for identifying, assessing, and mitigating risks (Holton, 2004; Kaplan & Garrick, 1981). In the context of climate finance, key risks include: climate risks (physical risks from climate impacts, transition risks from policy changes, liability risks from climate litigation); market risks (price volatility, demand uncertainty); credit risks (default risk of borrowers); and political risks (policy changes, expropriation, contract frustration) (Bernstein, 1996; World Bank, 2022).

Risk mitigation instruments that can be used to facilitate climate finance include: guarantees (which cover losses in the event of default); insurance (which covers specific risks); first-loss tranches (which absorb the first losses in a portfolio); and political risk insurance (which covers losses from political events) (Climate Policy Initiative, 2022; World Bank, 2022).

2.4 Economic Incentives Theory

Economic incentives theory examines how financial incentives can be used to influence behavior (Goulder & Parry, 2008; Stern, 2007). Key concepts include: carbon pricing (putting a price on carbon emissions to internalize the externality); subsidies (providing financial support for beneficial activities); taxes (imposing costs on harmful activities); and tradable permits (creating markets for emission allowances) (Nordhaus, 2015; Stern, 2007).

In the context of climate finance, economic incentives can be used to: encourage private investment in low-carbon technologies; discourage deforestation and forest degradation; and promote sustainable agricultural practices (Boucher, 2018; World Bank, 2022).

3. INNOVATIVE FINANCING MECHANISMS FOR THE CONGO BASIN

3.1 Green Bonds

Green bonds are debt instruments whose proceeds are used exclusively for environmentally beneficial projects. The global green bond market has grown rapidly, from virtually zero in 2007 to over $500 billion annually by 2021 (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2022). However, the share of green bonds issued in Africa remains very small, at less than 1 percent of the global total.

In the Congo Basin, green bonds could be used to finance: reforestation and afforestation projects; sustainable forest management; renewable energy projects; and sustainable agriculture (COMIFAC, 2020; World Bank, 2022). However, significant barriers exist, including the lack of a regulatory framework for green bonds in most countries, limited capacity to issue and manage green bonds, and the small size of local capital markets (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2022).

3.2 Blended Finance

Blended finance involves the strategic use of public funds to mobilize private capital for development purposes (OECD, 2020). Blended finance structures typically include: first-loss tranches (public funds absorb the first losses); guarantees (public funds guarantee a portion of private investment); and technical assistance (public funds support project preparation and capacity building) (Convergence, 2021; OECD, 2020).

In the Congo Basin, blended finance could be used to: de-risk investments in sustainable forest management; support the development of bankable projects; and catalyze private investment in renewable energy (COMIFAC, 2020; World Bank, 2022). However, successful blended finance requires strong partnerships between public and private actors, clear alignment of incentives, and effective risk allocation (Convergence, 2021).

3.3 Results-Based Payments (REDD+)

REDD+ (Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation) is a results-based payment mechanism that provides financial incentives for countries to reduce emissions from forests (UNFCCC, 2015). Under REDD+, countries are paid for verified emission reductions, with funds provided by donors, carbon markets, or other sources.

In the Congo Basin, REDD+ has been a focus of international climate finance, with several countries developing REDD+ readiness plans and submitting results to the UNFCCC (COMIFAC, 2020; Eba'a Atyi, 2018). However, the actual disbursement of results-based payments has been limited, and the effectiveness of REDD+ in reducing deforestation remains debated (Boucher, 2018).

3.4 Carbon Markets (Article 6)

Article 6 of the Paris Agreement establishes a framework for international carbon trading, allowing countries to trade emission reductions to meet their NDCs (UNFCCC, 2015). Article 6 includes provisions for: cooperative approaches (bilateral trading); a centralized mechanism for emission reductions (Article 6.4); and non-market approaches.

For the Congo Basin, Article 6 could create new opportunities for carbon finance, by allowing countries to generate carbon credits from forest conservation and sell them to other countries or to

private buyers (World Bank, 2022). However, significant challenges remain, including the need to develop robust monitoring, reporting, and verification (MRV) systems; the risk of double-counting; and the need to ensure that carbon trading does not undermine the environmental integrity of the Paris Agreement (Boucher, 2018).

4. CONSTRAINTS TO MOBILIZING INNOVATIVE CLIMATE FINANCE

4.1 Financial Constraints

Financial constraints include: high upfront costs for climate projects, which often require significant capital investment; limited availability of risk mitigation instruments (guarantees, insurance) to attract private investment; mismatched time horizons, with climate projects often having long payback periods; and the small size of local capital markets, limiting the scale of potential bond issuances (Buchner et al., 2021; Climate Policy Initiative, 2022).

4.2 Technical Constraints

Technical constraints include: lack of bankable projects, with many potential climate projects lacking the feasibility studies, technical specifications, and financial modeling needed to attract investment; limited capacity to develop project proposals that meet donor and investor requirements; lack of data and information on climate risks and opportunities; and weak monitoring, reporting, and verification (MRV) systems for results-based payments (COMIFAC, 2020; Eba'a Atyi, 2018).

4.3 Institutional and Regulatory Constraints

Institutional and regulatory constraints include: weak regulatory frameworks for green bonds, carbon markets, and other innovative mechanisms; lack of coordination among government agencies, donors, and private actors; corruption and lack of transparency, undermining investor confidence; and limited institutional capacity to manage complex financing arrangements (World Bank, 2022).

4.4 Political Constraints

Political constraints include: limited political will to prioritize climate action and implement necessary reforms; unstable political environments in some countries, creating investment uncertainty; competing priorities, with climate action often taking a back seat to immediate development concerns; and resistance from vested interests, including those benefiting from the status quo (COMIFAC, 2020; Eba'a Atyi, 2018).

5. ENABLING CONDITIONS FOR INNOVATIVE CLIMATE FINANCE

5.1 Stable Regulatory Frameworks

The development of stable and predictable regulatory frameworks is essential for attracting private investment in climate action. Key regulatory elements include: clear rules for green bond issuance and disclosure; legal frameworks for carbon markets; property rights and land tenure security for forest-based projects; and stable tax and incentive regimes for renewable energy and sustainable agriculture (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2022; World Bank, 2022).

5.2 Strong Institutional Capacity

Strong institutional capacity is required to manage innovative financing mechanisms effectively. Key capacity elements include: ability to develop bankable projects; capacity to comply with donor and investor requirements; robust MRV systems for results-based payments; and effective coordination mechanisms across government agencies, donors, and private actors (COMIFAC, 2020; Eba'a Atyi, 2018).

5.3 Effective Risk Mitigation Instruments

The availability of effective risk mitigation instruments is essential for attracting private investment. Key instruments include: guarantees (to cover default risk); first-loss tranches (to absorb initial losses); political risk insurance (to cover losses from political events); and currency hedging instruments (to manage exchange rate risk) (Buchner et al., 2021; Climate Policy Initiative, 2022).

5.4 Alignment of Incentives

The alignment of incentives across actors is critical for successful innovative finance. This includes: alignment of donor incentives with recipient priorities; alignment of investor incentives with development objectives; alignment of government incentives with private sector needs; and alignment of carbon market incentives with environmental integrity (Convergence, 2021; OECD, 2020).

6. CONCLUSION

This article has examined the constraints to mobilizing innovative climate finance for the Congo Basin and the conditions under which these constraints can be overcome. The analysis reveals that the development of flexible financing plans and bankable projects is not a significant constraint for the mobilization of innovative climate finance when enabling conditions are in place.

Key enabling conditions include stable regulatory frameworks, strong institutional capacity, effective risk mitigation instruments, and alignment of incentives across actors. Overcoming the constraints to innovative climate finance requires a comprehensive approach that addresses financial, technical, institutional, and political barriers simultaneously.

Future research should evaluate the effectiveness of different innovative financing mechanisms in the Congo Basin context. Research should also examine the role of public-private partnerships in scaling up climate finance and should assess the distributional impacts of innovative finance on local communities and indigenous peoples.

REFERENCES

1. Bernstein, P. L. (1996). *Against the gods: The remarkable story of risk*. John Wiley & Sons.
2. Boucher, D. (2018). *Tropical forest conservation and climate finance*. World Resources Institute.
3. Buchner, B., Caruso, G., & Cooper, C. (2021). *Global landscape of climate finance 2021*. Climate Policy Initiative.
4. Climate Bonds Initiative. (2022). *Green bonds global state of the market 2021*. CBI.
5. Climate Policy Initiative. (2022). *Global climate finance report*. CPI.

6. COMIFAC. (2020). *The state of the forests of the Congo Basin*. COMIFAC.
7. Convergence. (2021). *The state of blended finance 2021*. Convergence.
8. Eba'a Atyi, R. (2018). *The Congo Basin: A global ecological asset*. Center for International Forestry Research.
9. Goulder, L. H., & Parry, I. W. H. (2008). Instrument choice in environmental policy. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 2(2), 152-174.
10. Gunderson, L. H., & Holling, C. S. (2002). *Panarchy: Understanding transformations in human and natural systems*. Island Press.
11. Holling, C. S. (1973). Resilience and stability of ecological systems. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 4, 1-23.
12. Holton, G. A. (2004). Defining risk. *Financial Analysts Journal*, 60(6), 19-25.
13. Kaplan, S., & Garrick, B. J. (1981). On the quantitative definition of risk. *Risk Analysis*, 1(1), 11-27.
14. Nordhaus, W. D. (2015). *The climate casino: Risk, uncertainty, and economics for a warming world*. Yale University Press.
15. OECD. (2020). *Blended finance principles: Guidance for deploying blended finance*. OECD Publishing.
16. Schoenmaker, D. (2017). *Investing for the common good: A sustainable finance framework*. Bruegel.
17. Stern, N. (2007). *The economics of climate change: The Stern review*. Cambridge University Press.
18. UNEP. (2016). *Sustainable finance: A global perspective*. United Nations Environment Programme.
19. UNFCCC. (2015). *Paris Agreement*. United Nations.
20. Walker, B., & Salt, D. (2006). *Resilience thinking: Sustaining ecosystems and people in a changing world*. Island Press.
21. World Bank. (2022). *The economic case for forest conservation in the Congo Basin*. World Bank Publications.